

CAMHS Multisystemic Therapy (MST) program: A lens on referral lessons learnt from working with ELD families

Dr Mark Porter, Steering Committee Member, MST Program Manager, Specialised CAMHS

MST is a specialised service for ALL families including ELD and Aboriginal families with children & adolescents (11- 16 years) with mental health and behavioural problems. The children are at risk of school expulsion, homelessness, substance abuse, and becoming known to the justice system and the police. Families are often from lower socio-economic backgrounds and afraid or ashamed to seek help, lest they bring unwanted attention from authorities leading to charges or child removal. ELD and Aboriginal families accessing MST have a history of inter-generational trauma, often manifesting as mistrust of authorities. Stigma deters seeking help from mental health clinics. Their understanding of the relationship between mental illness and behavioural disorders is impeded by low

Respect the issues they have prioritised about their child...

mental health literacy.

Because we learnt that ELD families do not trust talking to a ‘stranger’ in a clinic environment, initial contact and ongoing engagement must be in the familiar surroundings of their home and at their preferred time to enable all adult carers to participate. Respect the issues they have prioritised about their child. For sensitive and effective service engagement with ELD families, a warm referral process would be desirable. This means the referrer to MST not only discusses with the family the services provided by MST, but gains consent to contact MST, makes the appointment and accompanies the family at the first appointment. Sensitive engagement with ELD families from the outset will facilitate trust building as they learn about the system and what they may realistically expect.



When a person from ELD background is placed under the Mental Health Act ('The Act')



The Steering Committee’s submission to the review of the Mental Health Act (2014) – (‘the Act’) - recognises that within current legislation, provisions do exist to meet the needs of people from ELD background placed under the Act. However, anecdotal evidence suggests that these provisions are fragmented in nature, resulting in distressing experiences and challenges in developing therapeutic relationships.

Some experiences of people from ELD background who find themselves placed under the Act demonstrate how reasonable misassumptions by clinical staff can lead to a

traumatizing experience for the person.

The following case studies were included in the Steering Committee’s submission to the review of the Act. These case studies describe how proficiency in English, and length of stay in Australia, must not be the basis for presuming the person’s English comprehension concerning mental health concepts, or their mental health literacy level. Despite the perceived spoken English proficiency observed, the ELD patient’s understanding and their awareness of rules, their rights and roles under the Act must never be assumed.

Case Study A

A Vietnamese male in his 50s was admitted into hospital under the Act. He was fluent in English, lived alone but had supportive family in Perth. His family was never involved or informed of his care even though he had provided consent to the treating team to contact them. He also had difficulties in understanding and accepting his diagnosis and reasons for treatment.

Case Study B

A teenage African female was admitted into hospital under the Act. She was from a refugee background and was resettled in Australia with her parents about 8 years prior. Her parents spoke Swahili as their first language and their English was fluent for daily activities. However, they struggled to understand the concepts of mental ill health, the Mental Health Act, and reasons for treatment. They had never been asked if they required an interpreter.